

## **CIRC AND READING COMPREHENSION ACHIEVEMENT: THE EFFECT AND THEIR INTERACTION WITH SELF EFFICACY**

<sup>1</sup>Gysela Debby Utari, <sup>2</sup>Annisa Astrid, <sup>3</sup>Deta Desvitasari  
<sup>1,2,3</sup> Universitas Islam Negeri Raden Fatah Palembang, Indonesia  
E-Mail: [gyseladebby29@gmail.com](mailto:gyseladebby29@gmail.com)

### **Abstract**

This study aimed to examine the effect of Cooperative Integrated Reading and Composition (CIRC) on students' reading comprehension achievement, viewed from their level of self-efficacy. The research was conducted at SMAN 2 Unggulan Talang Ubi and applied a quantitative approach with a factorial experimental design. The population of this study was all eleventh-grade students which in total amounted to 174 students, and the samples were selected using purposive sampling. Two classes were chosen which each amounted to 35 students per class: one taught using the CIRC method and the other with a conventional strategy. Data were collected through a reading comprehension test and a self-efficacy questionnaire, the reading comprehension test uses multiple choice questions and has 40 questions with 4 texts, where each text consists of 10 questions. The questionnaire has 25 questions using a 4 Likert scale, both of which were tested for validity and reliability. A two-way ANOVA was used to analyze the data. The findings of this study are as follows: 1) There was a significant difference in students' reading comprehension achievement between those taught using the CIRC method and those taught using a conventional method; 2) There was no significant difference in reading comprehension achievement between students with high and low self-efficacy; and 3) There was no significant interaction between the teaching method and students' self-efficacy level in influencing reading comprehension achievement. These results indicate that CIRC is an effective teaching strategy to enhance students' reading comprehension. However, students' self-efficacy alone may not directly influence achievement without additional support or intervention. The study underscores the importance of applying interactive and student-centered instructional methods to improve learning outcomes.

**Keywords:** CIRC; reading comprehension; self-efficacy; teaching strategy; student achievement

**How to Cite:** Utari, G. D., Astrid, A., & Desvitasari, D. (2026). CIRC and reading comprehension achievement: The effect and their interaction with self-efficacy. *Globish: English-Indonesia Journal for English Education and Culture*, 15(1), xx-xx. <https://doi.org/10.31000/globish.v15i1.14466>

---

### **INTRODUCTION**

Reading comprehension plays a vital role in the language learning process, particularly in EFL (English as a Foreign Language) contexts. It is not only a medium for acquiring knowledge but also a foundation for academic and personal development (Yang, 2016). Reading is a guessing process, according to Grellet (1996), and what one

takes to the text is frequently more significant than what one discovers there (Yanah Mulyanah & Yulianjani, 2023). Reading involves more than decoding words. Furthermore, (Klingner et al., 2007) argues “Reading comprehension is a multicomponent, highly complex process that involves many interactions between readers and what they bring to the text (previous knowledge, strategy use) as well as variables related to the text itself (interest in text, understanding of text types)” that means this process not only reads the text, such as articulation, expression, and intonation. On the other hand, the students try to understand the entire what is conveyed by the author in the text both implied or not. To comprehend the meaning and get the contents of the text, the students are required to understand the text. As a result, the process of reading comprehension becomes a weakness in students (Riyadi et al., 2022). It requires readers to construct meaning through an interactive process of connecting prior knowledge, interpreting language, and making inferences (Grabe & Stoller, 2019; Masduqi, 2016). (Klingner et al., 2015) emphasized that reading comprehension is a complex activity shaped by multiple factors, including the reader's background knowledge, motivation, strategy use, and the text itself.

In Indonesia, students' reading comprehension remains a significant challenge. Based on the (PISA, 2023) report, Indonesia ranked among the bottom three countries in ASEAN in terms of reading literacy, with approximately 70% of students performing below Level 2. Other data from PIRLS (2021) also showed a decline in Indonesia's reading score from 548 in 2016 to 544 in 2021 (Fishbein et al., 2024). These findings reflect deeper educational issues, such as limited reading habits, inadequate resources, and low engagement. (Hasanah, 2016) pointed out that lack of reading materials, inability to infer word meaning, and minimal parental motivation often hinder students' reading development. Similarly, Iftanti (Laili, 2018) found that EFL students in Indonesia lacked consistent reading habits despite formal instruction at school.

To address these issues, it is important for teachers to implement effective instructional strategies. (Amri, 2015) stated that learning strategies are essential tools for achieving learning objectives, and (Asmedy, 2021) reinforced the idea that strategic instruction helps both students and teachers in the learning process. One approach that has gained attention is Cooperative Integrated Reading and Composition (CIRC). A cooperative learning model that integrates reading and writing activities (Slavin, 1995, as cited in (Mubarok & Sofiana, 2017). CIRC encourages students to work collaboratively on reading tasks, identify main ideas, discuss vocabulary, and summarize texts (Calderón et al., 1998; Mubarok & Sofiana, 2017). This strategy aligns with the principles of cooperative learning, which emphasize student interaction, shared responsibility, and meaningful engagement (Gupta & Ahuja, 2014).

Several previous studies have demonstrated the effectiveness of CIRC in improving students' reading comprehension. For example, (Manik et al., 2021) found that students taught with CIRC showed higher reading achievement compared to those taught with conventional methods. Similarly, (Nasution, 2022) and (Pransiska, 2016) reported positive outcomes in secondary schools using this approach. (Mariana et al., 2020) and (Nurmianti, 2023) also highlighted its success at university and junior high school levels, respectively. However, most of these studies focused solely on cognitive outcomes and did not consider psychological or affective variables such as self-efficacy.

Self-efficacy, defined as students' belief in their ability to succeed in academic tasks (Bandura, 1997), plays a significant role in learning. (Guthrie & Wigfield, 2000) explain that students with high reading self-efficacy are more likely to approach reading tasks confidently, persevere in the face of challenges, and perform better overall.

(Bandura, 1995) identified four major sources of self-efficacy: mastery experiences, vicarious experiences, verbal persuasion, and emotional states. Students' perception of these experiences influences how they interpret their capabilities and determine how much effort they are willing to invest (Feist & Feist, 2002; Dodds, 2011, in (Mastur, 2016).

Several studies have confirmed a strong relationship between self-efficacy and reading achievement (Abbott, 2017; Chou, 2019; Rachmajanti, 2017 in (Apriliyani & Usuludin, 2023). (Umam et al., 2020) emphasized that self-efficacy not only shapes students' motivation but also influences the strategies they choose while reading. (Boakye, 2015) added that students with high self-efficacy demonstrate more persistence, invest more energy, and achieve better comprehension results than their peers with lower self-belief.

Despite the extensive research on CIRC and self-efficacy, there is a notable gap: few studies explore the combined effect of CIRC and students' self-efficacy on reading comprehension, particularly in the Indonesian senior high school context. Most prior research either focused on CIRC alone (e.g., (Afebri et al., 2021; Berliana et al., 2025) or treated self-efficacy as a separate construct without examining its interaction with instructional methods.

To fill this gap, the present study aims to investigate the effect of implementing the CIRC strategy on students' reading comprehension achievement, viewed from their level of self-efficacy. The research specifically addresses the following questions: (1) Is there a significant difference in students' reading comprehension achievement between those taught using CIRC and those taught using conventional methods? (2) Is there a significant difference in reading achievement between students with high and low self-efficacy? (3) Is there any interaction between the teaching method and students' self-efficacy in influencing reading comprehension outcomes?

The novelty of this study lies in its attempt to integrate both cognitive and affective factors in assessing reading comprehension. By examining not only the effect of teaching strategy but also how students' beliefs about their reading abilities shape learning outcomes, this study contributes to a more comprehensive understanding of reading pedagogy in Indonesian high schools.

## RESEARCH METHOD

This study applied a quantitative approach with a factorial experimental design to examine the effect of Cooperative Integrated Reading and Composition (CIRC) on students' reading comprehension achievement, viewed from their level of self-efficacy. The research was conducted at SMAN 2 Unggulan Talang Ubi in the academic year 2023/2024. The population of this study was all eleventh-grade students which in total amounted to 174 students, and the samples were selected using purposive sampling. Two classes were chosen which each amounted to 35 students per class: one class was taught using the CIRC method, and the other was taught using strategy that is usually used by the teacher at the school.

The instruments used in this study were a reading comprehension test and a self-efficacy questionnaire. The reading comprehension test uses multiple choice questions and has 40 questions with 4 texts, where each text consists of 10 questions. The reading comprehension test consisted of pre-test and post-test questions designed to measure students' understanding of narrative and procedure texts. Meanwhile, the self-efficacy questionnaire was developed based on Bandura's theory and included statements

measuring students' confidence in performing reading tasks. Both instruments were tested for validity and reliability before being used in the actual research.

To analyze the data, a two-way ANOVA was employed. This analysis aimed to determine: (1) whether there was a significant difference in reading comprehension achievement between students taught using the CIRC method and those taught using conventional methods, (2) whether students with different levels of self-efficacy showed significant differences in their reading achievement, and (3) whether there was a significant interaction between teaching method and students' self-efficacy. The significance level was set at 0.05.

## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

### **RESULTS**

This section presents the findings of the study in three main parts. The first part discusses the results of validity and reliability testing for both the reading comprehension test and the self-efficacy questionnaire. Ensuring the accuracy and consistency of these instruments was an essential step before data analysis. The second part outlines a sequence of statistical analyses to answer the 3 research problem formulations. Each finding is followed by a discussion to interpret its significance within the context of the study. The third part presents a discussion of the findings in relation to Bandura and Slavin's theory and also previous studies.

#### **Validity and Reliability of Instruments**

Before conducting the main analysis, the instruments used in this study, namely the reading comprehension test and the self-efficacy questionnaire were tested for their validity and reliability to ensure the accuracy and consistency of the data.

The reading comprehension test consisted of 60 multiple-choice items that measured various reading skills, including identifying the topic, finding the main idea, scanning for specific information, recognizing word references, guessing word meanings, and making inferences. The content validity of the test was established by aligning the items with the English curriculum for the eleventh grade, as reflected in the test blueprint. Furthermore, construct validity was measured using Pearson Product-Moment correlation based on tryout results from 70 students. The  $r$ -table at the 0.05 significance level ( $df = 68$ ) was 0.235. The results showed that 49 items were valid and retained, while 11 items were excluded due to low correlation values. Therefore, the test was considered to have acceptable construct validity.

In terms of reliability, the internal consistency of the reading comprehension test was measured using Cronbach's Alpha, which yielded a score of 0.778. This indicates that the test had good reliability and could be trusted to measure students' reading achievement consistently.

The self-efficacy questionnaire used in this study was adapted from the Academic Self-Efficacy Scale (TASES), originally developed by Sagone and Caroli (2014) and later adapted into Indonesian by Darmayanti et al. (2021). It consisted of 25 Likert-scale items categorized into four dimensions: self-engagement, self-oriented decision-making, others-oriented problem-solving, and interpersonal climate. The validity of this instrument had been previously confirmed through Confirmatory Factor Analysis (CFA). For reliability, the Cronbach's Alpha result was 0.815, which indicates a high level of internal consistency.

In conclusion, the results of the validity and reliability testing showed that both instruments were appropriate for use in this study. The following table summarizes the results:

Table 1. Summary of Instrument Validation and Reliability

Instrument	Type	Method	Result	Interpretation
Reading Comprehension Test	Validity	Pearson Correlation	49 of 60 items valid	Good construct validity
	Reliability	Cronbach's Alpha	0.778	Reliable
Self-Efficacy Questionnaire	Validity	CFA (adapted TASES)	25 valid items	Valid
	Reliability	Cronbach's Alpha	0.815	Highly reliable

### Descriptive Statistic

The results of descriptive analysis of reading comprehension show a range of score 10-34 for pre-test experimental, 11-35 for post-test experimental, 24-36 for pre-test control, and 18-38 for post-test control, which can be seen more clearly in table 2.

Table 2. Descriptive Analysis of Reading Comprehension Test

	Descriptive Statistic			
	N	Minimum	Maximum	Mean
Post-test Experimental	35	11	35	21,80
Post-test Control	35	18	38	32,77
Valid N (listwise)	35			

### Prerequisite Analysis

#### The Result of the Normality

To determine whether the data were normally distributed, a normality test was conducted using both the Kolmogorov-Smirnov and Shapiro-Wilk methods. These tests were applied to the pre-test and post-test scores of both the experimental and control groups. The results are presented in the following table 3 below.

Table 3. The Result of the Normality

	Class	Tests of Normality					
		Kolmogorov-Smirnov <sup>a</sup>			Shapiro-Wilk		
		Statistic	f	Sig.	Statistic	f	Sig.
Hasil belajar reading skill	Pre-Test Experimental CIRC	,192	5	,002	,9	5	,051
	Post-Test Experimental CIRC	,102	5	,200*	,963	5	,290
	Pre-Test Control Conventional	,100	5	,200*	,975	5	,578
	Post-Test Control Conventional	,142	5	,072	,958	5	,204

\*. This is a lower bound of the true significance.

a. Lilliefors Significance Correction

The results of the normality tests using the Shapiro-Wilk method indicate that all four sets of data, namely the pre-test and post-test scores of both the experimental class (CIRC method) and the control class (conventional method) are normally distributed. Specifically, the significance values (p-values) for each dataset exceed the threshold of 0.05, with the pre-test experimental group showing a significance of 0.051, the post-test experimental group at 0.290, the pre-test control group at 0.578, and the post-test control group at 0.204. Since none of these values fall below the commonly accepted alpha level of 0.05, the assumption of normality is satisfied for each group. This implies that the data do not significantly deviate from a normal distribution, and thus parametric statistical tests, such as the paired-sample t-test or the independent-sample t-test, are appropriate for further analysis. The normal distribution of the data also suggests that the data collection process was conducted properly and consistently, without any indication of bias or irregularity in the scoring.

### The Result of Homogeneity Test

To examine whether the data from each group have equal variances, a test of homogeneity of variance was conducted. This procedure is used to assess whether the assumption of equal variance across groups is met. The results of Levene's Test are presented in the following table 4 below.

Table 4. The Result of Homogeneity Test

Test	Group	N	Sig.	Result
Post-Test	Control	35	, 878	Homogenous
	experimental	35		

Based on the Levene's Test for Equality of Variances, the significance value (Sig.) for the "Based on Mean" method is 0.878. Since this value is greater than 0.05, it indicates that there is no significant difference in variance between the groups. Therefore, the assumption of homogeneity of variances is met. This indicates that the data distribution in the control group is relatively stable and does not show extreme differences in variance, making it appropriate for further parametric analysis such as independent samples t-test.

### Hypothesis Testing

The hypothesis testing was conducted using a two-way ANOVA to examine the effect of teaching method (CIRC vs. conventional) and self-efficacy level (high vs. low) on students' reading comprehension achievement. The results are presented in the following table:

Table 5. The result of Two Way ANOVA

Tests of Between-Subjects Effects					
Dependent Variable: readingresult					
Source	Type III Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
Corrected Model	13227,384 <sup>a</sup>	3	4409,128	24,791	,000
Intercept	324253,831	1	324253,83	1823,19	,000
Method	13029,233	1	13029,233	73,260	,000

SELFEFFICACY1	4,480	1	4,480	,025	,874
method *					
SELFEFFICACY1	29,593	1	29,593	,166	,685
Error	11738,059	66	177,849		
Total	353149,000	70			
Corrected Total	24965,443	69			

a. R Squared = ,530 (Adjusted R Squared = ,508)

The analysis showed that there was a significant difference in students' reading comprehension achievement based on the teaching method (Sig. = 0.000 < 0.05). This finding suggests that the CIRC method was more effective than strategy that usually used by the teacher at the school in improving students' reading performance. The method encouraged students to interact, collaborate, and process texts more actively, which contributed to better comprehension outcomes.

However, the analysis also revealed that there was no significant difference in reading comprehension between students with high and low self-efficacy (Sig. = 0.412 > 0.05). This indicates that students' belief in their ability to succeed did not independently influence their performance in reading tasks. Although self-efficacy is generally associated with motivation and persistence, it may not directly affect test results if not supported by effective instructional practices.

Lastly, there was no significant interaction between the teaching method and students' self-efficacy level (Sig. = 0.878 > 0.05). This means that the effectiveness of the CIRC method did not depend on whether students had high or low self-efficacy. Both groups benefited similarly from the method, suggesting that CIRC can be effectively implemented across diverse student profiles.

These findings indicate that while students' internal factors such as self-efficacy remain important, the role of instructional strategy, particularly cooperative methods like CIRC plays a more substantial role in improving academic outcomes.

## DISCUSSION

The results of the two-way ANOVA revealed that the teaching method significantly affected students' reading comprehension achievement. This means that there is a significant difference between students taught using the CIRC method and those taught using the conventional teacher strategy. This result aligns with (Slavin, 2015) who developed the CIRC model as a cooperative learning strategy that emphasizes student interaction, group-based learning, and integration of reading and writing tasks. According to Slavin, CIRC enhances comprehension because it encourages students to be actively involved in the learning process through peer collaboration and mutual support. The findings are also supported by (Klingner et al., 2015) who argued that collaborative reading instruction improves comprehension by allowing students to engage in discussion, clarify meanings, and negotiate understanding together. The structured cooperative elements of the CIRC method contribute to deeper text engagement, which leads to improved comprehension outcomes.

The second finding showed that students' level of self-efficacy did not significantly influence their reading comprehension achievement. This result contrasts with the theory of (Bandura, 1997) who stated that self-efficacy is defined as an individual's belief in their capability to succeed that can positively affect motivation, effort, and academic performance. According to Bandura, students who believe in their abilities are

more likely to persist and succeed in academic tasks. However, the findings in this study suggest that while self-efficacy may be important, it was not a dominant factor affecting reading achievement in this context. One possible explanation is that the instructional method (CIRC) provided enough support and scaffolding that it reduced the gap between students with low and high self-efficacy. This implies that effective teaching can help compensate for variations in students' confidence levels. The finding can be explained by the cooperative learning principles underlying the CIRC method. According to (Johnson et al., 2014) cooperative learning promotes positive interdependence, which allows students with lower confidence levels to remain actively involved and supported during the learning process. Through group discussion and shared responsibility, CIRC provides equal opportunities for students to succeed, thereby reducing the influence of differences in students' self efficacy on reading comprehension achievement. This explanation is also supported by Meng, who states that group work is a cooperative activity that encourages more equal student participation and greater opportunities to use language compared to whole class instruction. Through small group interaction, students are more willing to express ideas, share opinions, and collaboratively solve problems, which can contribute to improved reading comprehension (Rahmawati & Suharti, 2019). In addition to personal beliefs like self-efficacy, other external factors may have contributed more strongly to students' reading achievement in this study. One of these factors is the learning environment. According to (Harmer, 2009) a classroom that is supportive and comfortable can greatly influence how well students learn, especially in language learning contexts. When students feel safe, respected, and encouraged both by their teacher and their classmates they are more likely to participate actively and try their best, even if they are not fully confident in their abilities. In such a positive environment, students with low self-efficacy may still perform well because they receive ongoing help, motivation, and constructive feedback . This kind of environment can reduce the pressure on students and help them focus on learning rather than their doubts.

The third analysis tested whether there was an interaction effect between teaching method and students' self-efficacy. The result of the analysis shows that there was no significant interaction between the two variables, meaning that the effect of the teaching method on reading comprehension was consistent, regardless of whether students had high or low self-efficacy(Lutfiah et al., 2025). This finding is supported by (Schunk & Pajares, 2002) who explain that self-efficacy often influences motivation and goal setting, but its impact can be overshadowed when external factors like structured classroom instruction and peer support are more prominent. In such situations, even students with lower confidence can perform well because the method provides clear guidance and collaborative learning. A study by (Maspuhah, 2019) also found similar results. In her action research on third-semester university students, it showed that while students with higher self-efficacy were more engaged, the teaching model used in class had a stronger influence on reading improvement than self-efficacy alone. This supports the idea that in supportive, well-structured teaching contexts, self-efficacy may not be the main determinant of student success.

## **CONCLUSION**

This study investigated the effect of implementing the Cooperative Integrated Reading and Composition (CIRC) method on students' reading comprehension achievement, viewed from their level of self-efficacy. Based on the data analysis using two-way ANOVA, several conclusions can be drawn. First, the results revealed that the

CIRC method had a significant positive impact on students' reading comprehension achievement. Students who were taught using CIRC outperformed those who were taught using conventional teaching strategies. Second, the analysis showed that students' self-efficacy levels did not significantly affect their reading comprehension achievement. Third, the interaction between teaching method and self-efficacy level was also not statistically significant. This means that the effectiveness of the CIRC method in improving reading comprehension was consistent across different self-efficacy groups.

Overall, this study confirms that the instructional approach used by the teacher plays a critical role in determining student outcomes, particularly in reading comprehension. The findings provide valuable insights for English teachers, especially at the senior high school level, to consider incorporating cooperative learning models such as CIRC into their classroom practices. CIRC not only enhances comprehension outcomes but also fosters collaboration, critical thinking, and student engagement. Although self-efficacy did not have a significant effect in this study, it remains an important aspect of learner development that should not be overlooked. Teachers are encouraged to combine effective teaching methods with efforts to support students' confidence and emotional readiness to learn.

Future research may explore how self-efficacy can be strengthened in the classroom alongside cooperative learning, or how other affective factors such as motivation and anxiety may interact with teaching strategies to influence reading achievement. Further studies can also examine the long-term effects of CIRC in different educational settings or with different text genres to enrich the understanding of its benefits and limitations. This study was limited to a short-term intervention using two text types, narrative and procedure, and was conducted only with eleventh-grade students in a single school context, which may limit the generalizability of the results.

## REFERENCES

- Afebri, H., Hanna, H., & Nggawu, L. O. (2021). The Effect of Cooperative Integrated Reading and Composition (CIRC) Method Through WhatsApp Application on Students' Reading Comprehension. *Journal of Language Education and Educational Technology (JLEET)*, 6(2), 102. <https://doi.org/10.33772/jleet.v6i2.19919>
- Amri, S. (2015). *Implementasi pembelajaran aktif dalam kurikulum 2013*. Prestasi Pustakaraya.
- Apriliyani, E. P., & Usuludin, Moh. A. (2023). Self-Efficacy and its correlation with reading comprehension of senior high school students. *IREELL: Indonesian Review of English Education, Linguistics, and Literature*, 1(1), 25–33. <https://doi.org/10.30762/ireell.v1i1.1096>
- Asmedy, A. (2021). Pengaruh model pembelajaran kooperatif tipe STAD terhadap hasil belajar siswa sekolah dasar. *Ainara Journal (Jurnal Penelitian Dan PKM Bidang Ilmu Pendidikan)*, 2(2), 108–113. <https://doi.org/10.54371/ainj.v2i2.41>
- Bandura, A. (1995). *Self-Efficacy in changing societies* (A. Bandura, Ed.). Cambridge University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CB09780511527692>
- Bandura, A. (1997). *Self-efficacy: The exercise of control*. W. H Freeman and Company.
- Berliana, A., Forsia, L., & Purnawati. (2025). The effect of cooperative integrated reading and composition (CIRC) and think-pair-share (TPS) models on reading

- comprehension of narrative text. *Premise: Journal of English Education*, 14(1), 70. <https://doi.org/10.24127/pj.v14i1.11153>
- Boakye, N. A. N. Y. (2015). The relationship between self-efficacy and reading proficiency of first-year students: An exploratory study. *Reading & Writing*, 6(1). <https://doi.org/10.4102/rw.v6i1.52>
- Calderón, M., Hertz-Lazarowitz, R., & Slavin, R. (1998). Effects of bilingual cooperative integrated reading and composition on students making the transition from Spanish to English Reading. *The Elementary School Journal*, 99(2), 153–165. <https://doi.org/10.1086/461920>
- Fishbein, B., Yin, L., & Foy, P. (2024). *PIRLS 2021 User Guide for the International Database (2nd ed.)*. Boston College, TIMSS & PIRLS International Study Center. <https://pirls2021.org/data>
- Grabe, W., & Stoller, F. L. (2019). *Teaching and Researching Reading* (3rd ed.). Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315726274>
- Gupta, M., & Ahuja, J. (2014). Cooperative integrated reading and composition (CIRC): Impact on reading comprehension achievement in English among seventh grades. *Semantic Scholar*.
- Guthrie, J. T., & Wigfield, A. (2000). *Engagement and motivation in reading*. (P. B. M. P. D. P. & R. B. (Eds. ) In M. L. Kamil, Ed.; 3rd ed., Vol. 3). Longman.
- Harmer, J. (2009). The practice of English language teaching. *Harlow: Longman*.
- Hasanah, U. (2016). *Directed reading thinking activity (DRTA) on students' reading comprehension*.
- Johnson, D. W., Johnson, R. T., & Smith, K. A. (2014). Co-operative learning: Improving university instruction by basing practice on validated theory. In *Journal on Excellence in College Teaching* (Vol. 25, Issue 4).
- Klingner, J. K., Vaughn, S., & Boardman, A. (2007). *Teaching reading comprehension to students with learning difficulties*. The Guilford Press.
- Klingner, J. K., Vaughn, S., & Boardman, A. (2015). *Sample Chapter: Teaching Reading Comprehension to Students with Learning Difficulties, Second Edition*.
- Laili, N. R. (2018). *Correlation between english reading habit and vocabulary mastery at eleventh graders of SMAN 1 Palangka Raya*.
- Lutfiah, Y., Arjulayana, A., Hanim, I., & Garba, M. M. (2025). Investigating The Extent of Cognitive Processes in Reading Skills Among Students at Suntisart School, Thailand. *Jurnal Paedagogy*, 12(1), 77. <https://doi.org/10.33394/jp.v12i1.14186>
- Manik, S., Sembiring, M., Sriulina, L., & Tarigan, B. (2021). The Effect of Cooperative Integrated Reading and Composition (CIRC) on Students' Reading Comprehension Achievement. *Cetta: Jurnal Ilmu Pendidikan*, 4(3).
- Mariana, E., Sutisna, E., & Wahyuni, A. (2020). The Use of Cooperative Integrated Reading and Composition (Circ) Technique on Students' Reading Comprehension. *Journal of English Teaching and Linguistics Studies (JET Li)*, 2(2), 43–51. <https://doi.org/10.55215/jetli.v1i2.2474>
- Masduqi, H. (2016). *Integrating receptive skills and productive skills into a reading lesson* (Vol. 2).
- Maspufah. (2019). Improving Students' Reading Comprehension by Using Reciprocal Teaching Strategy. *J-SHMIC: Journal of English for Academic*, 6(1), 26–32. [https://doi.org/10.25299/jshmic.2019.vol6\(1\).2639](https://doi.org/10.25299/jshmic.2019.vol6(1).2639)
- Mastur, T. (2016). The role of self-efficacy in academic success: A critical analysis. *Educational Review*, 28(2), 102–115.

- Mubarok, H., & Sofiana, N. (2017). Cooperative integrated reading and composition (CIRC) and reading motivation: Examining the effect on students' reading ability. *Lingua Cultura*, 11(2), 121. <https://doi.org/10.21512/lc.v11i2.1824>
- Nasution, M. (2022). The Effect of CIRC (Cooperative, Integrated, Reading and Composition) Method on Students Achievement in Reading Recount Text. *Edunesia: Jurnal Ilmiah Pendidikan*, 3(2), 136–148. <https://doi.org/10.51276/edu.v3i2.235>
- Nurmianti. (2023). *The effect of the cooperative integrated reading and composition (CIRC) strategy to improving students' reading comprehension at the eighth grade MTs Uhaidao Kab. Mamasa.*
- PISA. (2023, December 5). *PISA 2022 Results (Volume I and II) Country Notes: Indonesia.* OECD. <https://doi.org/10.1787/53f23881-en>
- Pransiska, S. (2016). *The use of cooperative integrated reading and composition (CIRC) technique to improve students' ability in reading comprehension achievement (A classroom action research at the eight grade students' of MTs Pancasila Bengkulu in academic year 2015/2016.*
- Rahmawati, A. N., & Suharti, D. S. (2019). Reading Assignment: Group Work, Impacts on Students' Reading Comprehension. *An English-Indonesian Journal for English, Education and Culture*, 8(1). <https://doi.org/10.31000/globish.v7i2.1199>
- Riyadi, F., Gunawan, Y. I., Firdaus, M. I., & Rohim, M. A. (2022). The effect of ganze method on the eighth grade students' reading comprehension at SMPN 16 kota Tangerang. *Globish: An English-Indonesian Journal for English, Education and Culture*, 11(1). <https://doi.org/10.31000/globish.v11i1.5595>
- Schunk, D. H., & Pajares, F. (2002). The Development of Academic Self-Efficacy. In *Development of Achievement Motivation* (pp. 15–31). Elsevier. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-012750053-9/50003-6>
- Slavin, R. E. (2015). *Cooperative learning: teori, riset dan praktik.* Penerbit Nusa Media.
- Umam, C., Ushuludin, Moh. A., Ningrum, A. S. B., Syaifulloh, B., & Suci, D. N. (2020). Metacognitive awareness and self-efficacy: Do they contribute to Indonesian EFL students' listening comprehension achievement? *Humanities & Social Sciences Reviews*, 8(4), 138–146. <https://doi.org/10.18510/hssr.2020.8415>
- Yanah Mulyanah, E., & Yulianjani, A. (2023). Teaching Method: Survey, Question, Read, Recite, Review (SQ3R) to Improve Students' Reading Comprehension. *An English-Indonesian Journal for English, Education and Culture*, 12(2). <https://doi.org/10.31000/globish.v12i2.8787>
- Yang, X. (2016). Study on factors affecting learning strategies in reading comprehension. *Journal of Language Teaching and Research*, 7(3), 586. <https://doi.org/10.17507/jltr.0703.21>